

# LeanForControl

Anand Gokhale

Francesco Bullo

University of California, Santa Barbara

September 2, 2026

# Chapter 1

## Linear systems

### 1.1 Observability and controllability matrices

For a finite-dimensional linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad y = Cx,$$

with state matrix  $A$ , input matrix  $B$ , and output matrix  $C$ , this section collects the (finite-horizon) controllability and observability matrices and the bridge theorem connecting the textbook observability predicate to the kernel of the observability matrix.

#### 1.1.1 Observability matrix

**Definition 1.** The *observability matrix* of a pair  $(A, C)$  with  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{F}^{p \times n}$  is the block-row matrix

$$\mathcal{O}(A, C) = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ C A \\ C A^2 \\ \vdots \\ C A^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{(np) \times n}.$$

Rows are indexed by  $\text{Fin } n \times \text{Fin } p$ , so that  $A^k$  is available without casting  $k : \text{Fin } n$  through  $\text{Fin.val}$ .

**Definition 2.** A linear system  $(A, C)$  is *observable* when the only state  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  for which

$$C A^k x = 0 \quad \text{for every } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

is the zero state. This phrasing does not mention  $\mathcal{O}(A, C)$ , so the bridge theorem 8 has real content.

**Theorem 3.** *Block-row entry shape: at row  $(k, i)$  and column  $j$ , the observability matrix coincides with the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $C A^k$ :*

$$\mathcal{O}(A, C)_{(k,i),j} = (C A^k)_{i,j}.$$

*Proof.* Holds definitionally by the encoding chosen for theorem 1. □

**Theorem 4.** For every state  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and every  $(k, i) \in \text{Fin } n \times \text{Fin } p$ ,

$$(\mathcal{O}(A, C) \cdot x)_{(k, i)} = (C A^k \cdot x)_i.$$

This is the definitional bridge that powers theorem 8.

*Proof.* Holds by definitional unfolding of  $\mathcal{O}(A, C)$  (see theorem 1).  $\square$

### 1.1.2 Controllability matrix

**Definition 5.** The *controllability matrix* of a pair  $(A, B)$  with  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$  is the block-column matrix

$$\mathcal{C}(A, B) = [B \quad AB \quad A^2 B \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1} B] \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times (nm)}.$$

Columns are indexed by  $\text{Fin } n \times \text{Fin } m$ , so that  $A^k$  is available without casting  $k : \text{Fin } n$  through  $\text{Fin.val}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Block-column entry shape:* at row  $i$  and column  $(k, j)$ , the controllability matrix coincides with the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $A^k B$ :

$$\mathcal{C}(A, B)_{i, (k, j)} = (A^k B)_{i, j}.$$

*Proof.* Holds definitionally by the encoding chosen for theorem 5.  $\square$

**Definition 7.** A linear system  $(A, B)$  is *controllable* when every target state  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  is reachable from the origin in  $n$  steps: there exist input vectors  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}^m$  such that

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k B u_k.$$

This phrasing does not name the controllability matrix, so the bridge theorem 10 has real content.

### 1.1.3 Milestone: observability iff trivial kernel of $\mathcal{O}$

**Theorem 8.** A finite-dimensional linear system  $(A, C)$  is observable in the sense of theorem 2 if and only if the observability matrix  $\mathcal{O}(A, C)$  has trivial kernel under matrix-vector multiplication:

$$\text{IsObservable}(A, C) \iff (\forall x, \mathcal{O}(A, C) \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0).$$

*Proof.* Both directions follow from the bridge theorem 4, which identifies the  $(k, i)$ -coordinate of  $\mathcal{O}(A, C) \cdot x$  with the  $i$ -coordinate of  $(C A^k) \cdot x$ . The forward direction reads the per-power kernel out of the assembled kernel coordinatewise; the reverse direction packs them back.  $\square$

### 1.1.4 Rank characterizations

**Theorem 9.** A finite-dimensional system  $(A, C)$  is observable if and only if the observability matrix  $\mathcal{O}(A, C)$  has full column rank, i.e.

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{O}(A, C) = n,$$

where  $n$  is the state dimension.

*Proof.* Chain the kernel-form milestone theorem 8 with the matrix-level bridge  $(\forall x, M \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0) \iff \text{rank } M = n$  from ‘MatrixLemmas’, applied with  $M = \mathcal{O}(A, C)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *A linear system  $(A, B)$  is controllable if and only if the controllability matrix has full row rank,*

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{C}(A, B) = n,$$

*where  $n$  is the state dimension.*

*Proof.* Combine the reindexing identity  $\mathcal{C}(A, B) \cdot u = \sum_k A^k B \cdot u_k$  (with  $u_k(j) = u(k, j)$ ) with the matrix-level bridge  $(\forall y, \exists x, Mx = y) \iff \text{rank } M = n$  from ‘MatrixLemmas’, applied with  $M = \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ .  $\square$

### 1.1.5 Hautus observability

**Definition 11.** The *unobservable subspace* of  $(A, C)$  is the  $A$ -invariant subspace

$$\mathcal{N}(A, C) = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : C A^k v = 0 \text{ for every } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Equivalently,  $\mathcal{N}(A, C) = \ker \mathcal{O}(A, C)$ , but here it is phrased without naming  $\mathcal{O}$  so the bridge theorem 12 reads as content.

**Theorem 12.** *A finite-dimensional system  $(A, C)$  is observable in the sense of theorem 2 if and only if its unobservable subspace is trivial:*

$$\mathcal{N}(A, C) = \{0\} \iff \text{IsObservable}(A, C).$$

*Proof.* Both directions are membership unfoldings of theorem 11 against theorem 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** *The unobservable subspace is closed under the action of  $A$ : for every  $v \in \mathcal{N}(A, C)$ , also  $Av \in \mathcal{N}(A, C)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $k = 0, \dots, n-2$  this is direct from the definition. For  $k = n-1$  we land at  $CA^{n-1}v$ , which Cayley-Hamilton rewrites as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of  $CA^i v$  for  $i < n$ . Each of those is zero, so the combination is zero.  $\square$

**Definition 14.** The *Hautus observability matrix* of  $(A, C)$  at a complex number  $\mu$  is the block-row matrix

$$H_{A,C}(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu I - A \\ C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+p) \times n}.$$

**Theorem 15.** *For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,*

$$H_{A,C}(\mu) \cdot v = 0 \iff (Av = \mu v \wedge Cv = 0).$$

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Theorem 16.** *If  $(A, C)$  is not observable, then there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and a nonzero vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that*

$$H_{A,C}(\mu) \cdot v = 0,$$

*i.e.  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with an eigenvector in  $\ker C$ .*

*Proof.* The unobservable subspace theorem 11 is nontrivial by theorem 12. It is  $A$ -invariant by theorem 13, hence finite-dimensional and invariant; over  $\mathbb{C}$  this guarantees a nonzero eigenvector inside it. That vector is automatically in  $\ker C$  (membership at  $k = 0$ ), so it lies in the kernel of  $H_{A,C}(\mu)$  via theorem 15.  $\square$

**Theorem 17.** *If  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $v \neq 0$  satisfy  $H_{A,C}(\mu) \cdot v = 0$ , then  $(A, C)$  is not observable.*

*Proof.* The witness gives  $Av = \mu v$  and  $Cv = 0$ . By induction on  $k$ ,  $A^k v = \mu^k v$ , so  $C A^k v = \mu^k Cv = 0$  for every  $k$ . The vector  $v$  is therefore in the unobservable subspace and is nonzero, so observability fails.  $\square$

**Theorem 18.** *A finite-dimensional system  $(A, C)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is observable if and only if for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  the Hautus matrix  $H_{A,C}(\mu)$  has trivial kernel:*

$$\text{IsObservable}(A, C) \iff \forall \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \ker H_{A,C}(\mu) = \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* Combine theorem 16 (failure direction) and theorem 17 (converse).  $\square$

### 1.1.6 Hautus controllability

**Definition 19.** The *Hautus controllability matrix* of  $(A, B)$  at a complex number  $\mu$  is the block-column matrix

$$H_{A,B}^{\text{ctrl}}(\mu) = [\mu I - A \quad B] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n+m)}.$$

**Theorem 20.** *A finite-dimensional system  $(A, B)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is controllable if and only if for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  the Hautus matrix  $H_{A,B}^{\text{ctrl}}(\mu)$  has full row rank:*

$$\text{IsControllable}(A, B) \iff \forall \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \text{rank } H_{A,B}^{\text{ctrl}}(\mu) = n.$$

*Proof.* Combine the duality bridge theorem 20 *nope, that's the iff itself*; actually combine the duality bridge `isControllable_iff_isObservable_transpose` with the observability Hautus iff theorem 18, then convert the kernel-form RHS to the rank form via the matrix bridge `mulVec_kernel_trivial_iff_rank_eq_0` from ‘MatrixLemmas’, and finally identify the transposed Hautus matrices.  $\square$

## Chapter 2

# Ordinary differential equations

### 2.1 Dini derivatives

**Definition 21.** The *upper right Dini derivative* of  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at  $t$  is

$$D^+f(t) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h}.$$

When  $f$  is differentiable at  $t$  this equals  $f'(t)$ .

### 2.2 Gronwall–Bellman inequality

**Theorem 22. Gronwall–Bellman inequality.** Let  $\Lambda, \mu : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous with  $\mu \geq 0$ , and let  $y : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous satisfying

$$y(t) \leq \Lambda(t) + \int_a^t \mu(s) y(s) \, ds \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Then

$$y(t) \leq \Lambda(t) + \int_a^t \Lambda(s) \mu(s) e^{\int_s^t \mu(\tau) \, d\tau} \, ds \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

*Proof.*

□

### 2.3 Continuous dependence on parameters

**Definition 23.** A function  $x : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow E$  is an *integral solution* of  $\dot{x} = F(t, x)$  with initial value  $x_0$  when

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t F(s, x(s)) \, ds \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

**Theorem 24.** *Theorem 3.5 (Continuous dependence on parameters). If  $y$  solves  $\dot{y} = f(t, y)$  and  $z$  solves  $\dot{z} = f(t, z) + g(t, z)$  with  $\|g(t, x)\| \leq \alpha$  and  $\|z_0 - y_0\| \leq \alpha$ , and  $\alpha(1 + 1/L)e^{L(t_1 - t_0)} \leq \varepsilon$ , then  $\|y(t) - z(t)\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .*

*Proof.* Reduce to theorem 22 applied to  $\|y - z\|$ , using the  $L$ -Lipschitz bound on  $f$  and the  $\alpha$ -bound on  $g$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Comparison lemma

**Theorem 25.** *Comparison Lemma 3.4. If  $u$  solves  $\dot{u} = f(t, u)$  with  $u(t_0) = u_0$ , and  $v$  is continuous with  $D^+v(t) \leq f(t, v(t))$  and  $v(t_0) \leq u_0$ , then  $v(t) \leq u(t)$  for all  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\lambda > 0$ , the perturbed solution  $z_\lambda$  of  $\dot{z} = f(t, z) + \lambda$  satisfies  $v \leq z_\lambda$  by ???. By theorem 24,  $\|u - z_\lambda\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $v(t) \leq u(t)$ .  $\square$

# Chapter 3

## Lyapunov stability

### 3.1 Trajectories, equilibria, and comparison functions

**Definition 26.** A *trajectory* of the autonomous ODE  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  is a globally defined map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = f(\varphi(t)) \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Definition 27.** A point  $x_{\text{eq}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an *equilibrium* of  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  when  $f(x_{\text{eq}}) = 0$ .

**Definition 28.** A *class  $\mathcal{K}$*  function on  $[0, a)$  is a continuous strictly increasing map  $\alpha : [0, a) \rightarrow [0, b)$  with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ . The structure records both  $\alpha$  and its inverse  $\alpha^{-1} : [0, b) \rightarrow [0, a)$ .

**Definition 29.** A *class  $\mathcal{K}_\infty$*  function is a continuous strictly increasing map  $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\alpha(0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3.2 Stability predicates

**Definition 30.** The equilibrium  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is *Lyapunov stable* when

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall \varphi, \text{IsTrajectory}(\varphi, f) \Rightarrow \|\varphi(0) - x_{\text{eq}}\| < \delta \Rightarrow \forall t \geq 0, \|\varphi(t) - x_{\text{eq}}\| < \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 31.** The equilibrium  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is *locally asymptotically stable* (LAS) when it is Lyapunov stable (theorem 30) and there exists  $c > 0$  such that every trajectory  $\varphi$  with  $\|\varphi(0) - x_{\text{eq}}\| < c$  satisfies  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow x_{\text{eq}}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 32.** The equilibrium  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is *globally asymptotically stable* (GAS) when it is Lyapunov stable (theorem 30) and every trajectory  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow x_{\text{eq}}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3.3 Sublevel sets and positive invariance

**Definition 33.** The *sublevel set* of  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\Omega_c(V) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : V(x) \leq c\}.$$



**Definition 34.** A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is *positively invariant* for  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  when every trajectory  $\varphi$  starting in  $S$  remains in  $S$  for all future time:

$$\varphi(0) \in S \Rightarrow \varphi(t) \in S \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 35.** If  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and radially unbounded ( $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ ), then every sublevel set  $\Omega_c(V)$  (theorem 33) is compact.

*Proof.* Closed:  $\Omega_c(V) = V^{-1}((-\infty, c])$  by continuity. Bounded: coercivity yields  $R$  with  $\Omega_c(V) \subseteq \overline{B}(0, R)$ . Compact: Heine–Borel in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\square$

### 3.4 Lyapunov functions

**Definition 36.** A function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *local Lyapunov function* on an open domain  $D \ni x_{\text{eq}}$  when  $V$  is smooth,  $V(x_{\text{eq}}) = 0$ ,  $V > 0$  on  $D \setminus \{x_{\text{eq}}\}$ , and the Lie derivative satisfies  $\dot{V}(x) = \nabla V(x) \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in D$ .

**Definition 37.** A *strict local Lyapunov function* on  $D$  strengthens theorem 36: the Lie derivative satisfies  $\dot{V}(x) < 0$  for all  $x \in D \setminus \{x_{\text{eq}}\}$ , and there exists  $c > 0$  such that the compact sublevel set  $\Omega_c(V) \subseteq D$  (theorem 33).

**Definition 38.** A *global strict Lyapunov function* for  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  at  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is a  $C^1$  map  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $V(x_{\text{eq}}) = 0$ ,  $V > 0$  everywhere else,  $\dot{V}(x) < 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x_{\text{eq}}\}$ , and all sublevel sets  $\Omega_c(V)$  compact (coercivity).

**Definition 39.** The classical GAS Lyapunov certificate: a  $C^1$  map  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $V(x_{\text{eq}}) = 0$ ,  $V > 0$  elsewhere,  $\dot{V} < 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x_{\text{eq}}\}$ , and radially unbounded ( $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ ). Implies theorem 38 via theorem 35.

### 3.5 Milestone theorems for autonomous systems

**Theorem 40.** *Lyapunov’s stability theorem.* If  $V$  is a local Lyapunov function (theorem 36) for  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  on a domain  $D \ni x_{\text{eq}}$ , then  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is Lyapunov stable (theorem 30).

*Proof.* Pick  $\varepsilon_0$  so  $\overline{B}(x_{\text{eq}}, \varepsilon_0) \subseteq D$ . Let  $m = \min_{S_{\varepsilon_0}} V > 0$ . Choose  $\delta$  with  $V < m$  on  $B(x_{\text{eq}}, \delta)$ . If  $\|\varphi(t^*) - x_{\text{eq}}\| \geq \varepsilon$ , monotonicity of  $V$  gives  $V(\varphi(t^*)) \leq V(\varphi(0)) < m \leq V(\varphi(t^*))$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 41.** *Lyapunov’s local asymptotic stability theorem.* If  $V$  is a strict local Lyapunov function (theorem 37) and  $f$  is continuous, then  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is locally asymptotically stable (theorem 31).

*Proof.* The compact sublevel set  $\Omega_{c_0} \subseteq D$  is positively invariant.  $V(\varphi(t)) \rightarrow L \geq 0$  by monotone convergence;  $L = 0$  by compactness;  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow x_{\text{eq}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 42.** *Lyapunov’s global asymptotic stability theorem.* If  $V$  is a global strict Lyapunov function (theorem 38) and  $f$  is continuous, then  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is globally asymptotically stable (theorem 32).

*Proof.* Stability from theorem 40. For convergence:  $V(\varphi(t)) \rightarrow L \geq 0$  by monotone convergence;  $L = 0$  by a LaSalle-type argument;  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow x_{\text{eq}}$  by coercivity.  $\square$

**Theorem 43. Corollary.** *If  $V$  is a radially unbounded strict Lyapunov function (theorem 39) and  $f$  is continuous, then  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is globally asymptotically stable.*

*Proof.* Radial unboundedness gives compact sublevel sets (theorem 35), so  $V$  satisfies theorem 38; apply theorem 42.  $\square$

### 3.6 LaSalle's invariance principle

**Theorem 44. LaSalle's invariance principle.** *Let  $\Omega$  be compact and positively invariant for  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ ,  $V \in C^1$  with  $\dot{V}(x) \leq 0$  on  $\Omega$ , and  $M \subseteq \Omega$  closed. If the  $\omega$ -limit set of any trajectory  $\varphi$  starting in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\omega(\varphi) \subseteq M$ , then  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow M$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Theorem 45. Barbashin's theorem.** *If  $V \in C^1$  is positive definite on  $D$ ,  $\dot{V} \leq 0$  on  $D$ , there exists a compact sublevel set  $\Omega_c \subseteq D$ , and every trajectory starting in  $\Omega_c$  has  $\omega$ -limit set  $\subseteq \{x_{\text{eq}}\}$ , then  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is locally asymptotically stable (theorem 31).*

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Theorem 46. Krasovskii's theorem.** *If  $V \in C^1$  is positive definite,  $\dot{V} \leq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , radially unbounded, and every trajectory has  $\omega$ -limit set  $\subseteq \{x_{\text{eq}}\}$ , then  $x_{\text{eq}}$  is globally asymptotically stable (theorem 32).*

*Proof.*  $\square$

### 3.7 Lyapunov class $\mathcal{K}$ bounds

**Theorem 47. Class  $\mathcal{K}$  sandwich bounds.** *For any continuous positive-definite function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on  $\overline{B}(0, r)$ , there exist class  $\mathcal{K}$  functions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  such that*

$$\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|) \quad \forall \|x\| \leq r.$$

*Proof.* Construct  $\alpha_1$  from the infimum of  $V$  on annuli (lower bound), and  $\alpha_2$  from the supremum of  $V$  on balls (upper bound). Each is sandwiched by a class  $\mathcal{K}$  function via the axioms in `LeanForControl.axioms`.  $\square$

### 3.8 Class $\mathcal{KL}$ functions and the Osgood construction

**Definition 48.** A class  $\mathcal{KL}$  function on  $[0, a) \times [0, \infty)$  is continuous, class  $\mathcal{K}$  in the first argument, and for each fixed  $r > 0$  is strictly decreasing and tends to 0 as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . It arises as the bound  $\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x_0\|, t)$  in asymptotic stability estimates.

**Theorem 49. Osgood construction.** *Given a class  $\mathcal{K}$  function  $\alpha$  satisfying the Osgood condition  $\int_0^\varepsilon \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} dx = +\infty$ , the function  $\sigma(r, s) = \eta^{-1}(\eta(r) + s)$ , where  $\eta(y) = -\int_{\text{base}}^y \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} dx$ , is a class  $\mathcal{KL}$  function (theorem 48).*

*Proof.*  $\square$